

MILLIONAIRE'S YOUNG WIDOW SUED BY NURSE

Inventor's Relatives Astounded By Revelations Made in Paris Action.

By International News Service.
NEW YORK, March 10.—Relative of the late Peter Cooper Hewitt, millionaire inventor, were astounded today over dispatches from Paris reporting sensational revelations arising out of his sudden death in the American hospital at Neuilly last August when he was thought to be recovering from illness.

The fact that Hewitt was believed to be convalescent at the time of his death is expected to prove the "high light" in a suit brought against the inventor's young widow by Miss Elizabeth C. Kelly, a trained nurse of New York and Montreal, for damages. The suit was filed in Paris, where Mrs. Hewitt is now living. The nurse alleges Mrs. Hewitt and Baron Robert Ellinger, of England, tried to drive her out of France after litigation had been instituted against her by the baron. She was charged with stealing jewelry from Mrs. Hewitt, but the charges were dismissed. Miss Kelly claims that sisters of the late inventor will testify in her behalf.

CECIL COUNTY TO ISSUE BONDS FOR SCHOOL USES

ELKTON, Md., March 10.—At a joint meeting of the county commissioners of Cecil county and the board of education for this county, held in Elkton yesterday, together with Senator H. Arthur Cantwell and Delegates Mackey and Gibson, it was decided to introduce a bill in the legislature for a bond issue to the amount of \$150,000 for school purposes in this county.

The loan will be subject to referendum, the money to be expended in such manner and at such places as may be decided by a commission to be named.

ENTER BILL TO PROVIDE STATE PLANNING BUREAU

ANNAPOLIS, March 10.—Senator Frick has introduced the bill for a State planning bureau, which is to consist of the governor, the president of Johns Hopkins University, the president of the University of Maryland and two citizens to be selected by the governor. The bill carries an appropriation of \$50,000 for two years beginning October 1, 1922. Authority is given the bureau to appoint a city planning engineer and such other employees as may be necessary. The bureau is to gather data to be used in planning the municipalities of the commonwealth and assist in the installation of local city planning board.

OYSTER LEGISLATION TO BE DEBATED IN MD.

ANNAPOLIS, March 10.—Maryland's oyster legislation to cover the next two years, probably will be determined next Thursday, when two bills over which there has been particular controversy will be discussed in the house of delegates. These measures were made yesterday the special order for that day when they were reported favorably by the Chesapeake bay and tributaries committee. Chairman Good asked that a time be fixed for discussion on the floor of the house. It is understood that much of the opposition to them has disappeared.

FAY KING JUST MISSES HER CHANCE TO BE A LADY BARBER



Too Much Pep in That Job Is Not Appreciated, She Finds

By FAY KING.

The ad read:

"WANTED—Lady barbers."

I ran all the way, wearing my old shoes so I could make faster time, because I have always wanted to be a lady barber. I really wanted to be a nurse and tip toe around on rubber soles in a spic, starched white uniform with a cute little cap perched up on top of my head, but when you are a nurse you have to make people take medicine. I can't do that. I'd be forever letting 'em off, and the first thing you know they'd—well, anyway, one day I looked into a nice little barber shop that was run by ladies, and there they were looking pretty much as nice and starched and white as nurses and I made that my second choice.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

But when I applied for a job, the woman in charge asked me so many questions I began to think I'd got in the wrong place and was being examined for jury duty instead of law duty.

The first thing they asked me was if I am prejudiced. I figured they meant prejudicial, and I said so, so far as I'm concerned they needn't worry about the heavyweight champion and the light brigade of beauties hanging on the wall. I'd never take down a race horse and pit it up on a motto. She could see I was over-ambitious.

I'd almost forgot about it until I ran across that ad.

Then she asked me if I would work with a vengeance, and I replied vociferously and animatedly: "Would I—you bet I would! Every note of my voice deep with the sincere desire to please my new employer."

But she frowned and seemed to suspect my enthusiasm.

"I thought so," she mumbled, and showed me to the door. "We can't afford to lose our customers THAT way!"

Oh, well, I thought to myself as I walked dolefully away, and then it dawned upon me. No doubt that was a catch line when she asked me would I work with a vengeance. Ah, ha! That's it. I was so anxious to get on the job I guess she figured I was laying for some guy that shaved there.

Oh, well, everything happens for the best. Like as not some two-faced bird would have dropped in sometime and called his wife up saying he'd been detained at the office and then date a chick before he jumped into the chair.

There'd be such a wonderful opportunity to spoil his evening by giving him a neat little harmless cut across the end of his nose!

Could I trust myself to shave his neck?

I'll never know now!

But anyway, if the world is ever run by women, as some wise guys say it is going to be, take a tip from me, keep an eye on the lady barbers.

ALLEGED \$100,000 THIEF CAUGHT IN PHILADELPHIA

PHILADELPHIA, March 10.—Walter A. Unger, charged with the larceny of securities of the Evans Dental Institute of the University of Pennsylvania valued at "more than \$100,000," and the embezzlement of \$12,000 of the institution's funds, was captured last night in a rooming house.

He had \$10,000 in cash in his pockets, according to detectives who made the arrest.

Unger, who was assistant treasurer of the Dental Institute fund, disappeared February 28 and the next day negotiable securities of the institute said to have been worth \$190,000 were found to be missing from a safe deposit box in the Girard National Bank. It was also alleged that he had withdrawn from the bank \$12,000 in cash belonging to the institute.

Unger, who is twenty-seven years old, is alleged to have squandered most of the money in stock market speculation.

SOUTHERN MARYLAND HIGHWAY ADVOCATED

ANNAPOLIS, March 10.—Southern Maryland's beautiful scenery, fertile lands, pleasant climate and magnificent distances were pictured by her loyal and eloquent sons of the senate committee on finance yesterday in a hearing upon the Baltimore-Southern Maryland road.

A large delegation of business men of Baltimore, including many representatives of the Merchants and Manufacturers Association and members of the Southern Maryland Society, added their approval.

Senator Mitchell acted as master of ceremonies and gave a short explanation of the bill which has been introduced by him and Senator Sasser.

It provides that the State roads commission shall construct a road thirty-three miles long from Mattawoman, near the border of Charles and Prince Georges counties, to Henfield, on the Annapolis-Baltimore road. A bond issue of \$1,000,000 is provided.

To Speak for Miners.

James Lord of the mining division of the American Federation of Labor will be the speaker at the forum luncheon of the City Club next Tuesday. His subject will be "Labor's Side of the Coal Mining Crisis." The operators' side may be given the following week.

WIDOW OF RUSS OFFICER MAY BE ORDERED HOME

Millionaire Named as Man Who Secured Entry of Woman to Country.

By International News Service.
CHICAGO, March 10.—H. H. Bigelow, of the manufacturing firm of Bigelow & Brown, of St. Paul, was named today as the American millionaire who is said to have persuaded Mrs. Elizabeth Girenko, widow of a Russian army officer, to come to the United States. Bigelow's name was revealed by Andrew R. Sheriff, attorney for Mrs. Girenko.

According to Sheriff, it was Bigelow who vouched for Mrs. Girenko when she applied for passports at Berlin, and who guaranteed that she would not become a public charge.

"He gave as his purpose for bringing her to this country that he wished to have her join him in his residence at St. Paul," Sheriff declared.

Mrs. Girenko is now employed as a manicurist at a Chicago hotel, and it is declared that Federal agents have begun an investigation of the means by which she entered this country with a view to deportation. Sheriff charges this investigation was instigated by Bigelow.

Sheriff also asserted that a suit would be filed against Bigelow for damages to compensate Mrs. Girenko.

SECOND D. C. MUSIC WEEK COMMITTEE IS NAMED

The honorary committee for Washington's second Music Week with Mrs. Harding as chairman and Mrs. Coolidge, vice chairman, has been completed.

The committee is somewhat larger than last year owing to the acceptance of membership by Gen. John J. Pershing, George B. Christian, Jr., Col. C. O. Sherrill, Justice Frederick L. Siddons, Dr. Frank W. Ballou, Rt. Rev. Mgr. C. F. Thomas, Dr. Howard L. Hodgkins, Dr. J. Stanley Durkee, William Bruce King and C. E. Christian.

The committee was announced from the Music Week headquarters in Mrs. Warren G. Harding, chairman; Mrs. Calvin Coolidge, vice chairman; Mrs. Frederick H. Gillett, Charles Evans Hughes, Secretary of State; Andrew W. Mellon, Secretary of the Treasury; John W. Weeks, Secretary of War; Harry M. Daugherty, Attorney General; Hubert Work, Postmaster General; Edwin Denby, Secretary of the Navy; Albert B. Fall, Secretary of the Interior; Henry C. Wallace, Secretary of Agriculture; C. D. Hoover, Secretary of Commerce; James J. Davis, Secretary of Labor; Senator J. Heister Ball, Senator Lawrence C. Phipps, Congressman B. K. Focht, Congressman C. R. Davis, Gen. John J. Pershing, Admiral Robert E. Coontz, Maj. Gen. John A. Lejeune, Commissioners Cuno H. Rudolph, James F. Oyster and Col. C. Keller; George B. Christian, Jr., Lieut. Col. C. O. Sherrill, Justice Frederick L. Siddons, Frank W. Ballou, Superintendent of Public Schools; Mgr. C. F. Thomas, Howard L. Hodgkins, J. Stanley Durkee, William Bruce King and C. E. Christian.

ENGLAND READY TO ACCEPT MILD PAC CHANGES

Hard Learns British Not Opposed to Including Smaller Far East Powers.

By WILLIAM HARD.

Cosmopolitan News Service.

A British observer in Washington, of long experience and of very high reputation for successful reduction of British policies tells me that in his judgment there is no reason to believe that the British people or the British government would object to an amendment of the four-power treaty extending the benefits of conference under the treaty to other Far Eastern powers (such as China) which at present are not included in the treaty.

The importance of such a willingness on the part of the British government is not overestimated as a potential factor in the fate of the treaty in the Senate.

Inquiry in the Senate shows that if the British are willing to accept an amendment of the sort indicated, a considerable number of Senators now in opposition to the treaty would feel that the treaty had been rendered harmless. Also, a considerable number of Senators wavering between opposing and favoring the treaty would waver quite definitely toward favoring it.

That this would happen will become clear if the situation in the Senate is examined from the inside.

The possibility of defeating this treaty depends on its remaining incompatible with the ideals of the League of Nations. This treaty cannot be defeated without the help of a very large number of Democratic voters. These votes cannot be secured in sufficient numbers if the treaty is made to correspond to the ideals of the League of Nations.

The opposition to the treaty among the Democrats in the Senate is centered around the idea which is embodied in Senator Robinson's reservation. This reservation is essentially the same thing as the amendment discussed at the beginning of this dispatch. It aims to include all Far Eastern powers in the conferrings and communications called for by the treaty. It aims to bring China, for instance, into those conferrings and communications when the interests of China are being discussed.

In other words the Robinson reservation attempts to set up in the Far East a sort of far eastern society of nations or league of nations in place of an alliance of great powers. A change of that sort is made in the treaty, the Democrats will have won a great victory. They will have changed the treaty from a league among the great to a league among both great and small, among both strong and weak, in the Far East.

But when they had won that victory, their principal reason for opposition to the treaty would have disappeared. They have no objections (most of them) to "foreign entanglements" if the "entanglements" include all the nations concerned. Their objection is to an "entanglement" among the great and strong for dominating and coercing the small and weak. Once the treaty had been remade from a far eastern exclusive alliance into a far eastern Democratic league, the votes against the treaty on the Democratic side of the Senate would begin to diminish.

Thus the Administration now stands in precisely the same situation in which the Wilson Administration stood when certain concessions to the Senators opposing the League of Nations would have carried it to victory. The Senate if the Administration today should expand the four-power pact into a pact including all Far Eastern powers it might split the Senatorial opposition into fragments and put the treaty through the Senate without any further important trouble.

Two facts, however, make any such policy on the part of the Administration quite improbable. One is that when any Administration reverts to the treaty as being a "open" already perfected to the point of expediency. It would usually rather let the treaty defeated than make any genuinely important change in it. Thus, from the beginning of our history to the present, the British have refused to change the "open" and have seen them defeated. This is a necessary consequence of our form of government, in which the legislative branch of the government cannot punish the executive branch of the government. The object of the Government is to keep the Government from office.

The other reason is that the Administration will hesitate to approach the British on the subject of changing the treaty and the British will hesitate to approach the Administration. The fact is, that today, as also during the conference, the British will go to almost any length to do what the American government wants to have done. It is only the American government which expresses its views and press its views.

If the American Government does not really want an alliance of great powers in the Pacific, it is preferable to an entanglement between all the powers in the Pacific and in the Far East, the simple conferring and communications regarding dangers to peace in the Pacific in the the Pacific, and if it wants to with draw all words from this treaty giving it the color of an alliance and wants to put in words making it simply the means of a better and more rapid interchange of current international views between all the powers existing in the Pacific and in the Far East, it could make its

ENGLAND READY TO ACCEPT MILD PAC CHANGES

Hard Learns British Not Opposed to Including Smaller Far East Powers.

By WILLIAM HARD.

Cosmopolitan News Service.